

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/780,243	02/17/2004	Florian Kehrer	9665		
7590 11/29/2005			EXAMINER		
Francis C. Hand, Esq. c/o Carella, Byrne, Bain, Gilfillan,			BUSHEY, CHARLES S		
Cecchi, Stewart	& Olstein	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
5 Becker Farm	Road	1724			
Roseland, NJ	07068	DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/780,2	43	KEHRER, FLORIAN	
		Examine	r	Art Unit	
	·	Scott Bus	hey	1724	
7 Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this communicat eply	ion appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL is of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communice of or reply is specified above, the maximum statutor reply within the set or extended period for reply will, received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THE CFR 1.136(a). In no evation. y period will apply and we by statute, cause the apply and we have apply and a second an	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from dication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			,		_
2a)∏ Th 3)∏ Sir	esponsive to communication(s) filed on is action is FINAL . 2b)[ince this application is in condition for sed in accordance with the practice to	☐ This action is rallowance except	on-final. for formal matters, pro		nerits is
Disposition	of Claims				
4a) 5)	of the above claim(s) 3,11,12,14 and aim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) 1,2,4,13,15-18 and 20 is/are reaim(s) 5-10 is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restriction Papers e specification is objected to by the Execution of the edition of the editi	d 19 is/are withdrangled i	equirement. Objected to by the loce held in abeyance. Second of the drawing(s) is objected if the drawing(s) is objected if the drawing(s)	Examiner. e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFF	, ,
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ Acl a)⊠ / 1.[2.[3.[cnowledgment is made of a claim for the complex of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International the attached detailed Office action for the priority document of the priority doc	cuments have been tuments have been priority documents Bureau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	tage
2) Notice of 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-000) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC (s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	152)

Application/Control Number: 10/780,243 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species A, as depicted by Figure 2 of the application in the reply filed on October 7, 2005 is acknowledged.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 15-18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 15, line 3, "said said channel" does not make sense.

In claim 17, line 5, "said said channel" does not make sense.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/780,243 Page 3

Art Unit: 1724

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1, 2, 4, 15, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Fischer et al '526 (Figs. 1, 4, 6, and 7; col. 1, lines 51-56).

With respect to the gutter throttling the liquid, thereby providing a hydrodynamic balance of the liquid being distributed, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In this case, the tapered ends (71', 76' of Fig. 7) provide the apparatus with the capability of throttling the liquid, dependent upon the amount of liquid flowing on the surfaces of areal guide means (6) of the reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischer et al '526.

Fischer et al '526 as applied above substantially disclose applicant's invention as recited by instant claim 13, except for the specific spacing of the apertures in the channels and the intended flow rate of the liquid therethrough. It is noted that the flow

Application/Control Number: 10/780,243 Page 4

Art Unit: 1724

rate of the liquid is not a structural limitation and therefore is not given patentable weight within the apparatus claims. With respect to the spacing of the apertures in the channel, absent an unexpected showing of criticality, it would have been obvious for an artisan at the time of the invention, to provide the apertures of the channels as taught by the reference with a spacing, such as the unremarkable spacing as set forth by applicant's claim 13, such spacing being dictated by the amount of liquid being distributed and the size of the column within which the distributor is used.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 5-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art of record fails to disclose or suggest the subject matter of instant claim 5.
- 10. Claims 16 and 20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Bushey whose telephone number is 571 272-1153. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott Bushey Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

csb 11-22-05